Extragalactic Jets from every angle
Proceedings IAU Symposium No. 313, 2015
F. Massaro, C. C. Cheung, E. Lopez, A. Siemiginowska, eds.
© 2015 International Astronomical Union
DOI: 00.0000/X000000000000000X

On the relation of accretion rate and spin induced jet power in low luminosity AGN

Xiang Liu[1,2] and Zhen Zhang[1] and Zhenhua Han[1,3]

[1]Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory of CAS, 150 Science 1-Street, Urumqi 830011, China, email: liux@xao.ac.cn

[2]Key Laboratory of Radio Astronomy, CAS, Nanjing 210008, China

[3]Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

arXiv:1410.2357v1 [astro-ph.GA] 9 Oct 2014

Abstract. From Liu & Han (2014), the accretion-dominated jet power has a linear proportionality with the accretion rate, whereas the power law index is $\leqslant 0.5$ at lower accretion rate. Attributing the jet power in low accretion rate AGN to the black hole spin, it implies that the jet power has a flatter spectrum than the accretion-dominated jet versus the accretion rate. The black hole must be spinning rapidly for producing such jet power efficiently, and this may allow us to find high-spin black holes in the radio-loud low-luminosity AGN.

Keywords. black hole physics – galaxies: jets – quasars: general – accretion, accretion disks

1. BZ-jet power may have a flatter spectrum versus accretion rate

Radio jet of AGN could be produced from either the disk accretion or the black hole (BH) spin, or even both. The accretion produced jet power could be linearly proportional to the product of the Eddington ratio and BH mass, as found in radio loud quasars (Liu & Han 2014). Whereas, in relative low luminosity AGN, e.g. in spiral galaxies, the index of the power law relation between jet power and accretion rate is significantly less than unity, implying that besides the accretion, other mechanisms must be at work in the production of jet, e.g. the BH spin. In this paper, we investigate further in this aspect.

The BH spin can produce jet as suggested by Steiner et al. (2013), which principally predicted by Blandford & Znajek (1977), BZ-jet hereafter. The BZ-jet depends on the magnetic flux (Φ) threading on the BH ergosphere and the spin (a_*) of BH, and can be expressed as the function of a_* and relative magnetic flux $\phi = \Phi/\Phi_{max}$. The maximum magnetic flux Φ_{max} has a root-squared relation with the disk accretion rate (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011) and see Yuan & Narayan (2014) for a review, but it is not clear how the magnetic flux ϕ relates to the accretion rate. We think that a minimum accretion rate is required for the BH spin to produce a jet, since the magnetic flux (outgoing Poynting flux) needs plasma from the accretion to the BH horizon. There may also exist a critical accretion rate for the maximum magnetic flux Φ_{max} as noted by Tchekhovskoy et al. (2011) and Yuan & Narayan (2014) for the BZ-jet. Exceeding the critical accretion rate (or the critical Eddington ratio λ_c for similar BH masses), the BZ-jet could be suppressed, and the accretion disk would dominate the behavior of total jet power when the accretion rate is greater than the λ_c.

There is a false linear proportional relation between the BZ-jet power and the mass accretion rate (see Yuan & Narayan 2014), because the Φ in that formula is also a function of the accretion rate. The relation between the BZ-jet power and the mass accretion rate should be a non-linear form which we want to know. To consider both the accretion and the BH spin contribute to jet power, the total jet power should be the sum of the two but not the multiplying of two contributions.



Figure 1. Jet power vs. the product of the Eddington ratio (λ) and BH mass in solar mass unit (m), for lower accretion AGN (dash line) and high accretion AGN (solid line), respectively.

As the first approximation, we assume that the jet power is dominated by the accretion at high accretion rate (e.g. $\lambda > 0.1$, or $\lambda m > 10^7$ for 10^8 solar mass BH), and the jet power is dominated by the BZ-jet at lower accretion rate ($\lambda < 0.1$, or $\lambda m < 10^7$), as noted by Liu & Han (2014). Attributing the jet power in the low accretion rate AGN to the BZ-jet, this thus implies that the jet power has a flatter spectrum than the accretion-dominated jet versus the accretion rate, as plotted in Fig. 1 that the $P_j \propto (\lambda m)^{0.3}$ for the BH spin dominated jet in the Seyfert galaxies (the slope $\sim$0.3 from Liu & Han 2014) has a flatter spectrum than the linear proportional relation for the disk accretion-dominated jet power.

The linear proportionality of jet power versus accretion rate is well constrained by the theory proposed by Liu & Han (2014), and largely consistent with the data as observed in van Velzen & Falcke (2013), Fernandes et al. (2011), and Willott et al. (1999), for radio loud quasars. However, in the lower accretion regime, the power law slopes are obviously flatter ($\leqslant 0.5$), see Liu & Han (2014), for radio galaxies and Seyferts/LINERS. The flatter spectrum is most likely due to that the BZ-jet power dominates over the accretion jet power in the low accreting AGN. Of course, the BH spin must be high for producing such jet power efficiently, and this implies that most of radio-loud low-luminosity AGN may have high-spin BHs, and there are some indications for this in Martínez-Sansigre & Rawlings (2011) and Wu et al. (2011). Contrarily, for the high accretion quasars, their accretion jet power is dominating over their BZ-jet power, so that we cannot identify whether their BH is highly spinning or not from their jet luminosity only.

This work is supported by the NSFC of China (No.11273050).

References

Blandford, R.D., & Znajek, R.L. 1977, *MNRAS*, 179, 433
Fernandes, C.A.C., Jarvis, M.J., Rawlings, S., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 1909
Liu, X., & Han, Z.H. 2014, *Ap&SS*, DOI 10.1007/s10509-014-2102-9
Martínez-Sansigre, A., & Rawlings, S. 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 1937
Steiner, J.F., McClintock, J.E., & Narayan, R. 2013, *ApJ*, 762, 104
Tchekhovskoy, A., Narayan, R., & McKinney, J.C. 2011, *MNRAS* (Letters), 418, L79
van Velzen, S., & Falcke, H. 2013, *A&A* (Letters), 557, L7
Willott, C.J., Rawlings, S., Blundell, K.M., & Lacy M. 1999, *MNRAS*, 309, 1017
Wu, Q.W., Cao, X.W., & Wang, D.-X. 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 50
Yuan, F., & Narayan, R. 2014, *ARAA*, 52, 529